**NIST Center of Excellence for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning** 

Iodeling Community Resilience: Update on the Center for Ris ased Community Resilience Planning and the Computational nvironment IN-CORE

John W. van de Lindt, Co-Dire



Bruce R. Ellingwood, Therese P. McAllister, Paolo Garo Walter Gillis Peacock, Harvey Cutler, Maria Dillard, Jong Lee, Lori Peek, Michael Scott, Judith Mitrani-Re



#### **NIST Center of Excellence for Risk-Based Community Resilience Pla**





Social Science and Economic Models



- Vulnerable populations
- Services availability
- Population dislocation
- Business disruption
- Planning



#### **NIST Center of Excellence for Risk-Based Community Resilience Pla**



: IN-CORE disciplinary computational environment with egrated supporting databases: "The pendent Networked-Community Resilience ng Environment".

#### 2: Data Management Tools for Community nce Systems

ardized data ontology, robust data architecture, ective tools to support IN-CORE.

#### 3: Resilience Data Architecture Validation

sts and forecasts to test the data collection and its integration into IN-CORE. Validate and decision algorithms; field studies.





## **Stages of Resilience**





## **Significant Features of IN-CORE**

- Iodular in nature
- Embedded (library or core modules)
- User-supplied modules
- tilizes the Jupyter Notebook script in Python
- exibility in analysis, depending on analysis objectives
- Assessment of community performance
- Comparison of alternatives for enhancing community resilience
- Development of optimal strategies for enhancing community resilience
- isualization and risk communication of decision lternatives





**NIST Center for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning** 

### ne examples of new additions to fragilities Building – Tsunami RC Bridge – Scour Flooding





Kameshwar and Padgett 2018



#### **NIST Center for Risk-Based Community Resilience Pl**

### Physical astructure l

# GIS datafile with building locations













Nofal et al, 2018

Lumberton Report – Wave I

FFE = Datum

Depth w.r.t. FFE = -(x2 - x1)Ground w.r.t. FFE = -(x2)

Guidotti et al, 2010

## o hazard tiers

**r 1 Research Tools:** Executed completely within IN-RE.

**r 2 Research Tools:** Will run in IN-CORE but import da the hazard portion of the analysis, e.g. a wind field shap from an outside software (or other) source, overland sur v from ADCIRC, etc.



#### **NIST Center of Excellence for Risk-Based Community Resilience Pla**

## Scenarios

#### Earthquakes Tier 1

- Rupture
- Magnitude
- Distance
- Depth

#### Earthquakes Tier 2

- 3-D physics-based
- Seismic wave propagation
- Example MMSA

- Windstorms Tier 1
  - 3-sec wind gust over specified region

#### Tornado – Tier 1

- Statistical representations
- Historical estimated wind field from an event
- Hurricane: Wind, Wave, and Surge Tier 1
  - Data-driven wind field models from past hurricanes
  - USACOE Coastal Hazard System
- Hurricane: Wind, Wave, and Surge Tier 2
  - ADCIRC, SWAN
  - Surrogate Models



## enarios

#### UI Fire – Tier 1

Propagation in wildlands using CA Propagation inside a community

#### ınami – Tier 1

ASCE 7 Procedures

#### ınami – Tier 2

Time dependent numerical model Specified bathymetry and bare-earth topography

- Flood Tier 1
  - NFIP Maps
  - Flood depth w/o velocity

#### • Flood – Tier 2

- Fluvial (riverine)
- Pluvial (excessive precipitation)
- Coastal (sea level rise)
- Example will be available for Wolf River basin in Shelby Co., TN



### Tier 1 Example in IN-CORE: Simulation of Synthetic Hurricane



#### **User inputs:**

- model='Andrew'; (different models are available in Table 1)
- resolution=desired spatial resolution [ km] (default: 6)
- TransD=Initial direction of hurricane he [unit: degree];
- Landfall location=[long lati];

#### **Outputs:**



Simulated wind field (maximum 1-min sustained surface wind speeds at a height of 10 m over open terrain and open water) – Convert to 3- sec gu

### Hurricane Suite available in IN-CORE



Table 1. Model Name

CAT	Gulf Coast	Florida	Eas	
1	'Katrina'	'Katrina2'	'S	
2	'Ike'	'Frances'	'1:	
3	'Katrina'	'Wilma'	Fran	
4	Harvey (2017)*	Irma (2017)*		
5	-	'Andrew'		

\*Note: Models are currently not available for these events due to lack of data

#### **NIST Center of Excellence for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning**

### Tier 2 Example in IN-CORE: Simulation of Wind, Wave, Surge

ater levels and wind vectors

- mplete hindcast of Hurricane Ike has en completed and all pertinent ensity measures are available
- nilar model results are also available 20-yr, 100-yr, and 200-yr return riod hurricanes. Samples of flood undation depth) rasters are available use in IN-CORE

Ike WSE and Wind Vectors





#### **NIST Center of Excellence for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning**

#### 2 Example for Earthquake: MMSA testbed

- model consists of about 7M spectral elements
- del features
- nodel of the fault system and the rupture mechanism
- orizontally layered deep geological structure
- accurate description of the topography of the thick layer of liments beneath the MMSA
- per 16, 1811 New Madrid earthquake
- cluding peak ground values of acceleration, velocity, displacement ctral acceleration at different periods) can be imported in IN-CORE referenced raster file (e.g., TIFF format)
- bing a surrogate model using the data from the Tier 2 analyses that be included in IN-CORE



n, S., and Gardoni, P. "Simulation of seismic wave propagation in the Metro Memphis Statistical Area (MMSA)". Seismological Research Letters (in preparation).





Guidotti, Rosenheim & Gardoni 2018

Lumberton Report – Wave I

Lin, Rosenheim, Wang, Peacock & Hu

### **Functionality and Recovery Modeling: Some Examples**





Functionality States (ATC Placard)		ionality States FC Placard)	Damage Condition	Utility Availability	Building Repair Class & Specific Repair	
5	FF	Fully Functionality (Green Placard)	None	All available		N/A
4	BF	Baseline Functionality (Green Placard)	Minor cosmetic structural and nonstructural damage	Critical ones available	Repair Class 4 (RC4)	<ul> <li>Minor structural dama shear wall, link beam wall; Minor nonstruct such as stairs, partition</li> </ul>
3	RO	Re-Occupancy (Green Placard)	Minor to moderate structural and nonstructural damage	Unavailable	Repair Class 3 (RC3)	<ul> <li>Minor structural dam moderate nonstructu Mechanical equipme systems, emergency</li> </ul>
2	RU	Restricted Use (Yellow Placard)	Moderate structural or nonstructural damage that does not threaten life safety	N/A	Repair Class 2 (RC2)	<ul> <li>Moderate to heavy n damage such as glaz partitions, elevator, p sprinkler drops</li> </ul>
1	RE	Restricted Entry (Red Placard)	Extensive structural or nonstructural damage that threatens life safety	N/A	Repair Class 1 (RC1)	Heavy structural dan Heavy nonstructural that threatens life sat

Lin and Wang, 2017

#### Hassan and Mahmoud, 2018



### Please mark your calendars and join us on lay 1, 2019 for a NIST Center Webinar on Risk-Informed Decision for Community Resilience



Center for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning A NIST-funded Center of Excellence





## ank you. Email: <u>resilience@colostate.edu</u> Twitter: @commresilience

ter for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning is a NIST-funded Center of Excellence; the Center is a cooperative agreement between the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology and Colorac ty (NIST Financial Assistance Award Number: 70NANB15H044). The views expressed are those er, and may not represent the official position of the National Institute of Standards and Technology or nent of Commerce.

bus researchers with the Center of Excellence contributed to the contents of this presentation and a but is due to everyone affiliated with the Center for Risk-Based Community Resilience Planning.

